

Enhancing numerical constraint propagation using multiple inclusion representations

Xuan-Ha VU Djamila Sam-Haroud Boi Faltings

Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (LIA) Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Lausanne (EPFL)

– June, 19th 2008 –



■ Problem statement ←

- Numerical constraint propagation on DAGs using a single inclusion representation
- Using multiple inclusions on DAGs
 - The CIRD algorithm
- Some experiments
- Conclusions

Problem Formulation

- A numerical constraint satisfaction problem (NCSP): $N \equiv (V, D, C)$
 - $V = (x_1, ..., x_n)$: a sequence of **variables**
 - $D = (D_1, ..., D_n)$: a sequence of **domains** of respective variables
 - discrete: $\Delta = (\{1, ..., 10\}, \{1, ..., 10\})$
 - continuous: $\Delta = ([1, 10], [1, 10])$
 - $C = \{C_1, ..., C_m\}$: a set of **constraints**, each is a relation on a subsequence of variables
 - by enumeration: $X = \{\{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}, \{(1, 2), (2, 4), ..., (5, 10)\}\}$
 - by expressions or rules: $X = \{x + y = 3, 2x y = 0\}$
- A **solution** of *N*: a tuple $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in D_1 \times ... \times D_n$ such that $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in C_i$ for all i = 1, ..., m

• (x, y) = (1, 2)

Solution Methods

- A complete method: can find every solution (w.r.t. a reasonable tolerance)
- A rigorous method: a complete method dealing with rounding errors.
- Work at LIA: rigorous methods to compute the solution sets of numerical CSPs (NCSPs) of the form

$$\begin{cases} x^{2} - 2xy + \sqrt{y} = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \text{ equality} \\ 4x + 3xy + 2\sqrt{y} \le 9 \quad \longrightarrow \text{ inequality} \\ 1 \le x \le 3 \\ y \in [1, 9] \quad & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} \text{ continuous variables} \\ \text{ continuous domains (connected sets)} \end{cases}$$



isolated solutions

continuum of solutions



Inclusion representation

- Conservative enclosure of the solution set of a constraints system
- Can be built using:
 - Interval arithmetic
 - Affine arithmetic (standard, Kolev, Messine, ...)
 - Linear relaxations
 - etc..





interval arithmetic Moore *et al.*, 1959 f(x)g(x)



(PAL

Interval Arithmetic

- A closed interval $\mathbf{x} = [a, b] : x \in \mathbf{x} \Leftrightarrow a \le x \le b$.
 - Interval arithmetic is an arithmetic that is defined on the set of intervals rather than real numbers.
- Interval arithmetic's operations:
 - Allow to compute elementary operations based on the bounds of intervals, e.g., $\mathbf{x} = [a, b], \mathbf{y} = [c, d] \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = [a + c, b + d]$.
- The inclusion property: $f(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$
 - The range of a real function is included in the value of its interval form.
- Rounded interval arithmetic: use outward rounding controls
 - Allow rigorous enclosures of the ranges of real functions.
 - A simple example: $1/3 \in [\downarrow 1 \div 3 \downarrow, \uparrow 1 \div 3^{\uparrow}] = [0.33...33, 0.33...34]$.





Affine Arithmetic (1/2)

• Affine form $\mathbf{x} = x_0 + x_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathcal{E}_n$ • x_i : real **coefficients**

(length n)

- $\mathcal{E}_i \in [-1, 1]$: noise variables
- Affine operations $\mathbf{z} \equiv a\mathbf{x} + b\mathbf{y} + c$
 - $\mathbf{z} \equiv (ax_0 + by_0 + c) + \sum (ax_i + by_i) \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_i$
- Non-affine operations

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f^*(\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n) \equiv z_0 + z_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \dots + z_n \mathcal{E}_n + z_{\text{new}} \mathcal{E}_{\text{new}}$$

- **z** is of length n + 1 f^{a} is linear error bound
- The inclusion property:
 - $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}^n : f(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \{ \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \forall \mathcal{E}_i \in [-1, 1] \}$
- Rounding controls in floating-point arithmetic
 - Absolute rounding errors are added to the new term $z_{new} \mathcal{E}_{new}$
 - Also allow rigorous enclosures of the ranges of real functions

(Pfl Affine Arithmetic (2/2)

ε's are variables

- Multiplication (two variants):
 - $\mathbf{xy} = x_0 y_0 + 0.5 \sum x_i y_i + \sum (x_0 y_i + y_0 x_i) \mathcal{E}_i + (0.5 \sum |x_i y_i| + \sum_{i \neq j} |x_i y_j|) \mathcal{E}_{new}$ complexity $O(n^2)$, **tight enclosure** [Kolev 2001, Messine 1999]

2
$$\mathbf{xy} = x_0 y_0 + 0.5 \sum x_i y_i + \sum (x_0 y_i + y_0 x_i) \mathcal{E}_i + (\sum |x_i| \sum |y_i| - 0.5 |\sum x_i y_i|) \mathcal{E}_{new}$$

complexity $O(n)$, but **less tight** than **()** [Kolev 2002]

(PAL

Revised Affine Arithmetic (1/2)

- Multiplication :
 - $\mathbf{xy} = x_0 y_0 + 0.5 \sum x_i y_i + \sum (x_0 y_i + y_0 x_i) \varepsilon_i + (0.5 \sum |x_i y_i| + \sum_{i \neq j} |x_i y_j|) \varepsilon_{\text{new}}$ complexity $O(n^2)$, **tight enclosure** [Kolev 2001, Messine 1999]
 - 2 $\mathbf{xy} = x_0 y_0 + 0.5 \sum x_i y_i + \sum (x_0 y_i + y_0 x_i) \varepsilon_i + (\sum |x_i| \sum |y_i| 0.5 |\sum x_i y_i|) \varepsilon_{\text{new}}$ complexity O(n), but **less tight** than **1** [Kolev 2002]
 - **[Vu 2004] :** the following form has the same number of real operations than **2**, but is as tight as **1** $\mathbf{xy} = x_0y_0 + 0.5\sum x_iy_i + \sum (x_0y_i + y_0x_i)\varepsilon_i + (\sum |x_i| \sum |y_i| - 0.5\sum |x_iy_i|) \varepsilon_{new}$

(Pfl Revised Affine Arithmetic (2/2)



Moreover :

- $\mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathcal{E}_n + x_0 + \mathbf{e}_x[-1, 1] \rightarrow \text{(can be replaced with } [l_x, u_x])$
- The length will not increase during long-running computations
- [Vu 2004] proposed a **constructive theorem** and a **new generic procedure** to **rigorously** compute Chebyshev affine approximations ($f^a \pm z_{new}$) for monotonously continuously differentiable functions *f*
 - It needs a weaker condition than the original (*f* is twice continuously differentiable, *f*" has the same sign),
 - It can be applied to elementary functions (e.g., x², sqrt x, ln x),
 - Affine approximations can be obtained for *factorable* functions by a recursive composition of elementary functions.



Problem statement

- Numerical Constraint Propagation on Dags using a single inclusion representation (Interval arithmetic)
- Using multiple inclusion representations on DAGs
 - The CIRD algorithm
- Some experiments
- Conclusions

DAG Representation



Interval Constraint Propagation



- Forward Evaluation: $FE(N_4, *)$
 - from $N_4 = N_1 * N_2$,

• compute
$$\tau_{N4} := \tau_{N4} \cap (\mathbf{x} * \mathbf{y})$$
,

• thus $\tau_{N4} := [-\infty, +\infty] \cap [1, 27] = [1, 27]$

By Benhamou et al., 1999

 Backward Propagation: BP, the approximate projection of a node relation on each child

• from
$$N_7 = 4N_1 + 3N_4 + 2N_5$$
,

• write
$$N_4 = (N_7 - 4N_1 - 2N_5)/3$$
,

• thus
$$\tau_{N4} := \tau_{N4} \cap (\tau_{N7} - 4\tau_{N1} - 2\tau_{N5})/3$$

= [1, 27] \cap [-9, 3] = [1, 3]

06/10/08

EPFL/IC/LIA

Forward-Backward Propagation on DAGs



06/10/08

EPFL/IC/LIA



- Problem statement
- Numerical Constraint Propagation on Dags using a single inclusion representation (Interval arithmetic)
- Using multiple inclusion representations on DAGs ←
 - The CIRD algorithm
- Some experiments
- Conclusions





Attaching to each node of the DAG redundant inclusion representations in order to get tighter evaluation of its range.



(Pfl The CIRD Algorithm: building blocks

- Data associated to each node Ni:
 - A set of inclusion representations (R(N_i))
 - A range (interval) $(\tau(N_i))$

Node Evaluation:

Evaluates the range of the node with respect to each inclusion representation

Node Pruning:

- Inclusion Constraints Systems (ICS): the set of redundant constraints that can be inferred from an inclusion representation
- Pruning Constraint Systems (PCS): all the ICS related to a node + the ICS of its children

Illustrative example: CIRD[ai]



Node Evaluation





Generalization of forward evaluation

 $\tau(N_i) = I(N_i) = A(N_i) = [-\infty, +\infty], i=3,4,5$ $\tau(N_1) = I(N_1) = [1, 3], A(N_1) = 2 + \varepsilon_1$ $\tau(N_2) = I(N_2) = [1,9], A(N_2) = 5 + 4 \epsilon_2$ $I(N_4) = I(N_1) * I(N_2)$ = [1, 27] $\tau(\mathbf{N}_4) = \tau \ (\mathbf{N}_4) \cap \mu(\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{N}_4))$ = [1, 27] $A(N_4) = A(N_1) * A(N_2)$ $= 10 + 5\varepsilon_1 + 8\varepsilon_2 + 4[-1, 1]$ $\tau(\mathbf{N}_4) = t(\mathbf{N}_4) \cap \mu(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{N}_4))$ = [1, 27] μ : interval evaluation of the inclusion representation

Inclusion Constraint Systems (ICS)



Data at N₄ $\begin{cases} I(N_4) = [1, 27] \\ A(N_4) = 10 + 5\varepsilon_1 + 8\varepsilon_2 + 4[-1, 1] \\ \tau(N_4) = [1, 27] \end{cases}$

ICS $(I(N_4), \tau(N_4))$:

$$[] v_{N4} \in [1, 27]$$

• $ICS(A(N_4), \tau(N_4)):$ • $10 + 5\varepsilon_1 + 8\varepsilon_2 + 4\varepsilon_{N4} = v_{N4}$ • $v_{N4} \in [1, 27]$ • $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_{N4}) \in [-1, 1]^3$

Pruning Constraint Systems (PCS)



06/10/08

EPFL/IC/LIA



The CIRD algorithm – main steps

Intialization Phase:

- Initial recursive node evaluation
- Initialization of two Waiting Lists : L_e, the list of nodes waiting for evaluation, and L_ρ, the list of nodes waiting for pruning

Propagation Phase: repeat until both L_e and L_p become empty or the limit, if any, on the number of iterations is reached:

Get the next node N according to some strategy:

- From L_ρ first (pruning-first strategy) until it becomes empty
- From one of the two (in a rotationnal way)
- ...

if N was taken from *L_e*, perform Node Evaluation on N

- If this returns an empty set, the algorithm terminates with an infeasible status.
- If the changes of $\tau(N)$ is considered enough, put each parent (if any) of N in L_e and put N in L_ρ

else perform Node pruning : use dedicated pruning techniques on the PCSs related to N, to generate a new range for N

- If this process returns an empty set, the algorithm terminates with an infeasible status
- else update the ranges of the related nodes
- For each of these nodes, M, if the changes of $\tau(M)$ is considered enough, put each parent (if any) of M in L_e and put M in L_p

Node Range Updates



- Prune PCS(N₇, {A}) using LP, we get
 - $\bullet \quad \mathcal{E}_1 = -1, \ \mathcal{E}_2 = -1$
 - optional: $v_{N1} = 1$, $v_{N4} = 1$, ...
- Leaf Update: update only the leaves

$$x := 2 + \varepsilon_1 = 1$$

$$y := 5 + 4\varepsilon_2 = 1$$

- Child Update: update only the children like in the backward propagation
- The combination of them
- Update all nodes with reduced auxiliary variables (ε_i)
- Update only descendants

The CIRD algorithm

For a formal presentation of the algorithm, see:

- Rigorous Solution Techniques for Numerical Constraint Satisfaction Problems »
- Thesis # 3155, 2005
- Author: Xuan-Ha Vu
- Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Lausanne

http://liawww.epfl.ch/Publications/Archive/vxhthesis.pdf



- T1: 8 easy problems with isolated solutions
- T2: 4 average problems with isolated solutions
- T3: 8 hard problems with isolated solutions
- T4: 7 easy problems with continuum of solutions
- T5: 8 hard problems with continuum of solutions

(PA) Test criteria

- Relative time ratio : running time
- Relative cluster ratio : number of boxes in the output
- Relative iteration ratio : number of splits
- Relative reduction ratio : $(V / D)^{1/d}$ (V = volume of the output, D= volume of the original domain, d = dimension of the problem)
- Inner volume ratio (ratio of the volume of inner boxes to the volume of output boxes)

(PH)

Experiments – General Techniques





NCSPs with isolated solutions continuum of solutions



(Pfl

Experiments – Other Techniques

Some other preliminary comparisons:

- CIRD[ai] ≈ 30 times faster than Kolev's technique (A2) for the benchmark in [Kolev, 2002]
 - a mathematical technique using affine arithmetic,
 - without guaranteed rigor, require some posterior assumptions;
 - CIRD and A2 should be collaborative rather than competitive:
 - the reduction rule in A2 can be used in place of LP in CIRD[ai].
 - **CIRD[ai]** \approx 10–40 times faster than **Quad** for two benchmarks in [Lebbah *et al.*, Aug 2003, Nov 2003]
 - a linear relaxation based filtering technique with guaranteed rigor.
 - CIRD and Quad should be collaborative rather than competitive:
 - **Quad** can be used in **CIRD** to tackle the quadratic form [Messine 1999] and power operations x^n .

Conclusion

- CIRD is intended to be a generic scheme for combining multiple inclusion techniques in numerical constraint propagation:
 - users can devise their own combination strategies, depending on the set of inclusion representations
- We studied CIRD[ai], an instance of the CIRD scheme combining revised affine arithmetic with interval arithmetic
 - Some potential

(PH

Currently on the agenda

- Replacement of linear programming by less costly domain reduction techniques
- Integration of Kolev generalized affine arithmetic
- Integration of linear relaxation techniques (eg. [Borradaile & Van Hentenryck 2004]
- Investigate the integration of higher-order inclusion techniques (convexification)
- Comparison with other approaches [Granvilliers & Benhamou 2006]

....



Thank you for your attention