Interval constraint propagation; applications to control, estimation and robotics



Luc Jaulin, ENSIETA, Brest, France

1 Set computation

1.1 Basic notions on set theory

We define

$\mathbb{X}\cap\mathbb{Y}$	$\stackrel{def}{=}$	$\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{Y}\}$
$\mathbb{X} \cup \mathbb{Y}$	$\stackrel{def}{=}$	$\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{X} \text{ or } x \in \mathbb{Y}\}$
$X \setminus Y$	$\stackrel{def}{=}$	$\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } x \notin \mathbb{Y}\}$
$\mathbb{X}\times\mathbb{Y}$	$\stackrel{def}{=}$	$\{(x,y) \mid x \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Y}\}$
proj $_{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathbb{Z} ight)$	$\stackrel{def}{=}$	$\{x \in \mathbb{X} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{Y}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$



Exercise: If $\mathbb{X} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathbb{Y} = \{b, c, x, y\}$, then

$$X \cap Y = ?$$

 $X \cup Y = ?$
 $X \setminus Y = ?$
 $X \times Y = ?$

Exercise: If $\mathbb{X} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathbb{Y} = \{b, c, x, y\}$, then

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{X} \cap \mathbb{Y} &= \{b, c\} \\ \mathbb{X} \cup \mathbb{Y} &= \{a, b, c, d, x, y\} \\ \mathbb{X} \cup \mathbb{Y} &= \{a, d\} \\ \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{Y} &= \{(a, b), (a, c), (a, x), (a, y), \\ \dots, (d, b), (d, c), (d, x), (d, y)\} \end{split}$$

The direct image of $\mathbb X$ by f is

$$f(\mathbb{X}) \triangleq \{f(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{X}\}.$$

The reciprocal image of $\mathbb {Y}$ by f is

 $f^{-1}(\mathbb{Y}) \triangleq \{x \in \mathbb{X} \mid f(x) \in \mathbb{Y}\}.$

Exercise: If f is defined as follows



$$f(A) = ?.$$

$$f^{-1}(B) = ?.$$

$$f^{-1}(f(A)) = ?$$

$$f^{-1}(f(\{b,c\})) = ?.$$

Exercise: If f is defined as follows



$$f(A) = \{2,3,4\} = \operatorname{Im}(f).$$

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{a,b,c,e\} = \operatorname{dom}(f).$$

$$f^{-1}(f(A)) = \{a,b,c,e\} \subset A$$

$$f^{-1}(f(\{b,c\})) = \{a,b,c\}.$$

Exercise: If $f(x) = x^2$, then

$$f([2,3]) = ?$$

 $f^{-1}([4,9]) = ?.$

Exercise: If $f(x) = x^2$, then

$$f([2,3]) = [4,9]$$

$$f^{-1}([4,9]) = [-3,-2] \cup [2,3].$$

This is consistent with the property

$$f\left(f^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Y}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{Y}.$$

1.2 Interval arithmetic

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{lf} \diamond \in \{+, -, ., /, \mathsf{max}, \mathsf{min}\} \\ & [x] \diamond [y] = \left[\{x \diamond y \mid x \in [x], y \in [y]\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} [-1,3]+[2,5]&=[?,?],\\ [-1,3].[2,5]&=[?,?],\\ [-1,3]/[2,5]&=[?,?],\\ [-1,3]\vee[2,5]&=[?,?]. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{If} \diamond \in \{+, -, ., /, \mathsf{max}, \mathsf{min}\} \\ & [x] \diamond [y] = \left[\{x \diamond y \mid x \in [x], y \in [y]\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rl} [-1,3]+[2,5]&=[1,8],\\ [-1,3].[2,5]&=[-5,15],\\ [-1,3]/[2,5]&=[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}],\\ [-1,3]\vee[2,5]&=[2,5]. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [x^-, x^+] + [y^-, y^+] &= & [x^- + y^-, x^+ + y^+], \\ [x^-, x^+] \cdot [y^-, y^+] &= & [x^- y^- \wedge x^+ y^- \wedge x^- y^+ \wedge x^+ y^+, \\ & & x^- y^- \vee x^+ y^- \wedge x^- y^+ \vee x^+ y^+], \\ [x^-, x^+] \vee [y^-, y^+] &= & [\vee (x^-, y^-), \vee (x^+, y^+)]. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \{\cos, \sin, sqr, sqrt, \log, exp, \dots\}$

$$f([x]) = [\{f(x) \mid x \in [x]\}].$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin([0,\pi]) &= ?, \\ & \text{sqr}([-1,3]) &= [-1,3]^2 =?, \\ & \text{abs}([-7,1]) &= ?, \\ & \text{sqrt}([-10,4]) &= \sqrt{[-10,4]} =?, \\ & \text{log}([-2,-1]) &= ?. \end{aligned}$$

If $f \in \{ \mathsf{cos}, \, \mathsf{sin}, \mathsf{sqrt}, \, \mathsf{log}, \, \mathsf{exp}, \, \dots \}$

$$f([x]) = [\{f(x) \mid x \in [x]\}].$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin([0,\pi]) &= [0,1], \\ & \text{sqr}([-1,3]) &= [-1,3]^2 = [0,9], \\ & \text{abs}([-7,1]) &= [0,7], \\ & \text{sqrt}([-10,4]) &= \sqrt{[-10,4]} = [0,2], \\ & \text{log}([-2,-1]) &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Boxes

A box, or interval vector $[\mathbf{x}]$ of \mathbb{R}^n is $[\mathbf{x}] = [x_1^-, x_1^+] \times \cdots \times [x_n^-, x_n^+] = [x_1] \times \cdots \times [x_n].$ The set of all boxes of \mathbb{R}^n will be denoted by \mathbb{IR}^n . The width $w([\mathbf{x}])$ of a box $[\mathbf{x}]$ is the length of its largest side. For instance

$$w([1,2] \times [-1,3]) = 4$$

The *principal plane* of [x] is the symmetric plane [x] perpendicular to its largest side.



1.4 Inclusion function

The interval function [f] from \mathbb{IR}^n to \mathbb{IR}^m , is an *inclusion function* of f if

 $\forall [\mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \ \mathbf{f}([\mathbf{x}]) \subset [\mathbf{f}]([\mathbf{x}]).$



Inclusion functions [f] and $[f]^*$; here, $[f]^*$ is minimal.

The inclusion function $\left[f\right]$ is

monotonic	if	$([\mathrm{x}] \subset [\mathrm{y}]) \Rightarrow ([\mathrm{f}]([\mathrm{x}]) \subset [\mathrm{f}]([\mathrm{y}]))$
minimal	if	$orall [\mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \; [\mathbf{f}]\left([\mathbf{x}] ight) = [\mathbf{f}\left([\mathbf{x}] ight)]$
thin	if	$w(\mathbf{[x]}) = 0 \Rightarrow w(\mathbf{[f]}(\mathbf{[x]}) = 0$
convergent	if	$w([\mathbf{x}]) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow w([\mathbf{f}]([\mathbf{x}]) \rightarrow 0.$



Convergent but non-monotonic inclusion function



Convergent and monotonic inclusion function

The natural inclusion function for $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 4$ is

$$[f]([x]) = [x]^2 + 2[x] + 4.$$

If [x] = [-3, 4], we have

$$[f]([-3,4]) = [-3,4]^2 + 2[-3,4] + 4$$

= $[0,16] + [-6,8] + 4$
= $[-2,28].$

Note that $f([-3, 4]) = [3, 28] \subset [f]([-3, 4]) = [-2, 28]$.

A minimal function for

$$\mathbf{f}: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^2 & \to & \mathbb{R}^3 \\ (x_1, x_2) & \mapsto & \left(x_1 x_2, x_1^2, x_1 - x_2\right). \end{array}$$

is

$$[\mathbf{f}]: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I}\mathbb{R}^2 & \to & \mathbb{I}\mathbb{R}^3\\ ([x_1], [x_2]) & \to & \left([x_1] * [x_2], [x_1]^2, [x_1] - [x_2] \right). \end{array}$$

${\bf f}$ is given by the algorithm

Algorithm f(in: $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, out: $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$) 1 $z := x_1$; 2 for k := 0 to 100 3 $z := x_2(z + kx_3)$; 4 next; 5 $y_1 := z$; 6 $y_2 := \sin(zx_1)$; Its natural inclusion function is

Algorithm [f](in: $[x]$, out: $[y]$)		
1	$[z] := [x_1];$	
2	for $k := 0$ to 100	
3	$[z] := [x_2] * ([z] + k * [x_3]);$	
4	next;	
5	$\left[y_{1} ight] :=\left[z ight]$;	
6	$[y_2] := sin([z] * [x_1]);$	

Here, [f] is a convergent, thin and monotonic inclusion function for f.

1.5 Subpavings

A subpaving of \mathbb{R}^n is a set of non-overlapping boxes of \mathbb{R}^n .

Compact sets $\mathbb X$ can be bracketed between inner and outer subpavings:

 $\mathbb{X}^{-}\subset\mathbb{X}\subset\mathbb{X}^{+}.$

Example.

$$\mathbb{X} = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 \in [1, 2]\}.$$



Set operations such as $\mathbb{Z} := \mathbb{X} + \mathbb{Y}$, $\mathbb{X} := \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbb{Y})$, $\mathbb{Z} := \mathbb{X} \cap \mathbb{Y} \dots$ can be approximated by subpaving operations.

1.6 Set inversion

Let $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and let \mathbb{Y} be a subset of \mathbb{R}^m . Set inversion is the characterization of

$$\mathbb{X} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Y} \} = \mathbf{f}^{-1}(\mathbb{Y}).$$

We shall use the following tests.

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\mathsf{i}) & [\mathbf{f}]([\mathbf{x}]) \subset \mathbb{Y} & \Rightarrow & [\mathbf{x}] \subset \mathbb{X} \\ (\mathsf{ii}) & [\mathbf{f}]([\mathbf{x}]) \cap \mathbb{Y} = \emptyset & \Rightarrow & [\mathbf{x}] \cap \mathbb{X} = \emptyset. \end{array}$$

Boxes for which these tests failed, will be bisected, except if they are too small.
Stack-queue

A queue is a list on which two operations are allowed :

- add an element at the end (*push*)
- remove the first element (*pull*).

A *stack* is a list on which two operations are allowed :

- add an element at the beginning of the list (*stack*)
- remove the first element (*pop*).

Example: Let \mathcal{L} be an empty queue.

k	operation	result
0		$\mathcal{L}=\emptyset$
1	$push\left(\mathcal{L},a ight)$	$\mathcal{L} = \{a\}$
2	$push\left(\mathcal{L},b ight)$	$\mathcal{L} = \{a, b\}$
3	$x := pull\left(\mathcal{L} ight)$	$x = a, \mathcal{L} = \{b\}$
4	$x := pull\left(\mathcal{L} ight)$	$x = b, \mathcal{L} = \emptyset.$

If $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$ is a stack, the table becomes

$$k \quad \text{operation} \qquad \text{result} \\ 0 \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L} = \emptyset \\ 1 \quad \text{stack} \left(\mathcal{L}, a\right) \qquad \mathcal{L} = \{a\} \\ 2 \quad \text{stack} \left(\mathcal{L}, b\right) \qquad \mathcal{L} = \{a, b\} \\ 3 \quad x := \text{pop} \left(\mathcal{L}\right) \qquad x = b, \mathcal{L} = \{a\} \\ 4 \quad x := \text{pop} \left(\mathcal{L}\right) \qquad x = a, \mathcal{L} = \emptyset.$$

Algorithm Sivia(in: [x](0), f, Y) 1 $\mathcal{L} := \{[x](0)\};$ 2 pull [x] from $\mathcal{L};$ 3 if $[f]([x]) \subset Y$, draw([x], 'red'); 4 elseif $[f]([x]) \cap Y = \emptyset$, draw([x], 'blue'); 5 elseif $w([x]) < \varepsilon$, {draw ([x], 'yellow')}; 6 else bisect [x] and push into $\mathcal{L};$

```
7 if \mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset, go to 2
```

If $\Delta\mathbb{X}$ denotes the union of yellow boxes and if \mathbb{X}^- is the union of red boxes then :

$$\mathbb{X}^- \subset \mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{X}^- \cup \Delta \mathbb{X}.$$

1.7 Image evaluation

Define

$$\mathbf{f}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} (x_1 - 1)^2 - 1 + x_2 \\ -x_1^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\mathbb{X}_1 = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ \middle| \ x_1^4 - x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 \in [-0.1, 0.1] \right\}.$$

We shall compute \mathbb{X}_1 , f (\mathbb{X}_1) and f⁻¹ \circ f (\mathbb{X}_1).



2 Applications of set computation

2.1 Bounded-error estimation

Model : $\phi(\mathbf{p}, t) = p_1 e^{-p_2 t}$.

Prior feasible box for the parameters : $[\mathbf{p}] \subset \mathbb{R}^2$

Measurement times : t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m

Data bars : $[y_1^-, y_1^+], [y_2^-, y_2^+], \dots, [y_m^-, y_m^+]$ $\mathbb{S} = \{\mathbf{p} \in [\mathbf{p}], \phi(\mathbf{p}, t_1) \in [y_1^-, y_1^+], \dots, \phi(\mathbf{p}, t_m) \in [y_m^-, y_m^+]\}.$ lf

$$\phi\left(\mathbf{p}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \phi\left(\mathbf{p},t_{1}
ight) \ \phi\left(\mathbf{p},t_{m}
ight) \end{array}
ight)$$

and

$$[\mathbf{y}] = [y_1^-, y_1^+] \times \dots \times [y_m^-, y_m^+]$$

then

$$\mathbb{S} = [\mathbf{p}] \cap \phi^{-1}([\mathbf{y}])$$
 .

Show Setdemo (Guillaume Baffet), available at

http://www.istia.univ-angers.fr/~jaulin/demo.html

2.2 Robustification against outliers

Define a *relaxing function* for the box $[\mathbf{y}] = [y_1] \times \cdots \times [y_n]$

$$\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = \pi_{[y_1]}(y_1) + \dots + \pi_{[y_n]}(y_n)$$

where

$$\pi_{[a,b]}(x) \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} = 1 & ext{if} & x \in [a,b] \ = 0 & ext{if} & x
otin [a,b]. \end{array}
ight.$$

Allow up to q of the n output variables y_i to escape their prior feasible intervals. The posterior feasible set becomes

$$\hat{\mathbb{P}}_q = \{ \mathbf{p} \in [\mathbf{p}] \mid \pi_{[y_1]}(\phi_1(\mathbf{p})) + \cdots + \pi_{[y_n]}(\phi_n(\mathbf{p})) \ge n - q \}.$$

This is a set inversion problem. The set $\hat{\mathbb{P}}_q$ can thus be characterized by Sivia.

As an illustration, consider the model

$$\phi(\mathbf{p},t) = 20 \exp(-p_1 t) - 8 \exp(-p_2 t)$$

with the data bars represented on the figure below





(a) no outlier assumed; (b) one outlier assumed; (c) two outliers assumed;

2.3 Robust stability

The stability domain \mathbb{S}_p of the polynomial

$$P(s, \mathbf{p}) = s^n + a_{n-1}(\mathbf{p})s^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1(\mathbf{p})s + a_0(\mathbf{p})$$

is the set of all \mathbf{p} such that $P(s, \mathbf{p})$ is stable.

If $P(s, \mathbf{p})$ is given by

 $s^{3}+(p_{1}+p_{2}+2)s^{2}+(p_{1}+p_{2}+2)s+2p_{1}p_{2}+6p_{1}+6p_{2}+2.25,$

Its Routh table is given by

1	$p_1 + p_2 + 2$
$p_1 + p_2 + 2$	$2p_1p_2 + 6p_1 + 6p_2 + 2.25$
$\frac{(p_1-1)^2 + (p_2-1)^2 - 0.25}{p_1 + p_2 + 2}$	0
$2(p_1+3)(p_2+3)-15.75$	0

Its stability domain is thus defined by

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathsf{p}} \triangleq \{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p}) > \mathbf{0}\} = \mathbf{r}^{-1} \left(]\mathbf{0}, +\infty [^{ imes n}
ight).$$

where

$$\mathbf{r(p)} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + p_2 + 2\\ (p_1 - 1)^2 + (p_2 - 1)^2 - 0.25\\ 2(p_1 + 3)(p_2 + 3) - 15.75 \end{pmatrix}$$

•



Stability domain \mathbb{S}_p generated by Proj2d

2.4 Path planning











2.5 Sailboat

State equations

 $\begin{cases} \dot{x} = v\cos\theta \\ \dot{y} = v\sin\theta - 1 \\ \dot{\theta} = \omega \\ \dot{\delta}_s = u_1 \\ \dot{\delta}_r = u_2 \\ \dot{v} = f_s\sin\delta_s - f_r\sin\delta_r - v \\ \dot{\omega} = (1 - \cos\delta_s)f_s - \cos\delta_r \cdot f_r - \omega \\ f_s = \cos(\theta + \delta_s) - v\sin\delta_s \\ f_r = v\sin\delta_r. \end{cases}$

In a cruising phase

$$\dot{ heta}=0, \dot{\delta}_s=0, \dot{\delta}_r=0, \dot{v}=0, \dot{\omega}=0.$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} 0 = & \omega \\ 0 = & u_1 \\ 0 = & u_2 \\ 0 = & f_s \sin \delta_s - f_r \sin \delta_r - v \\ 0 = & (1 - \cos \delta_s) f_s - \cos \delta_r . f_r - \omega \\ f_s = & \cos (\theta + \delta_s) - v \sin \delta_s \\ f_r = & v \sin \delta_r. \end{cases}$$

The polar diagram is

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{S}_y &= \; \{(\theta, v) & \mid \exists f_s, \delta_s, f_r, \delta_r, v, \\ f_s \sin \delta_s - f_r \sin \delta_r - v &= 0 \\ (1 - \cos \delta_s) \, f_s - \cos \delta_r f_r &= 0 \\ f_s &= \cos \left(\theta + \delta_s\right) - v \sin \delta_s \\ f_r &= v \sin \delta_r \; \} \end{split}$$




Contractors

To characterize $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, bisection algorithms bisect all boxes in all directions and become inefficient. Interval methods can still be useful if

- the solution set X is small (optimization problem, solving equations),
- contraction procedures are used as much as possible,
- bisections are used only as a last resort.

3.1 Definition

The operator $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}: \mathbb{IR}^n \to \mathbb{IR}^n$ is a *contractor* for $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if

 $\forall [\mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathbf{x}]) \subset [\mathbf{x}] & (\text{contractance}), \\ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathbf{x}]) \cap \mathbb{X} = [\mathbf{x}] \cap \mathbb{X} & (\text{completeness}). \end{cases}$





$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is monotonic if	$[\mathrm{x}] \subset [\mathrm{y}] \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathrm{x}]) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathrm{y}])$
$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is <i>minimal</i> if	$orall [\mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathbf{[x]}) = \mathbf{[[x]} \cap \mathbb{X}\mathbf{]}$
$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is <i>thin</i> if	$orall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \{\mathbf{x}\} \cap \mathbb{X}$
$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is idempotent if	$orall [\mathbf{x}] \in \mathbb{IR}^n, \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathbf{x}])) = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathbf{x}]).$

 $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}$ is said to be $\mathit{convergent}$ if

 $[\mathbf{x}](k) \to \mathbf{x} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{X}}([\mathbf{x}](k)) \to \{\mathbf{x}\} \cap \mathbb{X}.$

3.2 Projection of constraints

Let x, y, z be 3 variables such that

$$egin{array}{rcl} x &\in & [-\infty, 5], \ y &\in & [-\infty, 4], \ z &\in & [6, \infty], \ z &= & x+y. \end{array}$$

The values < 2 for x, < 1 for y and > 9 for z are inconsistent.

To *project* a constraint (here, z = x + y), is to compute the smallest intervals which contains all consistent values.

For our example, this amounts to project onto $\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}$ and \boldsymbol{z} the set

$$\mathbb{S} = \{(x, y, z) \in [1, 5] \times [2, 4] \times [6, 10] \mid z = x + y\}.$$

3.3 Numerical method for projection

Since $x \in [-\infty, 5], y \in [-\infty, 4], z \in [6, \infty]$ and z = x + y, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} z = x + y \Rightarrow & z \in & [6, \infty] \cap ([-\infty, 5] + [-\infty, 4]) \\ & = [6, \infty] \cap [-\infty, 9] = [6, 9]. \\ x = z - y \Rightarrow & x \in & [-\infty, 5] \cap ([6, \infty] - [-\infty, 4]) \\ & = [-\infty, 5] \cap [2, \infty] = [2, 5]. \\ y = z - x \Rightarrow & y \in & [-\infty, 4] \cap ([6, \infty] - [-\infty, 5]) \\ & = [-\infty, 4] \cap [1, \infty] = [1, 4]. \end{array}$$

The contractor associated with z = x + y is.

Algorithm pplus(inout: $[z], [x], [y]$)	
1	$[z] := [z] \cap ([x] + [y]);$
2	$[x]:=[x]\cap \left(\left[z ight] -\left[y ight] ight)$;
3	$[y] := [y] \cap ([z] - [x]).$

The projection procedure developed for plus can be extended to other ternary constraints such as mult: z = x * y, or equivalently

$$\mathsf{mult} \triangleq \left\{ (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid z = x * y \right\}.$$

The resulting projection procedure becomes

Algorithm pmult(inout: $[z], [x], [y]$)		
1	$[z]:=[z]\cap \left(\left[x ight] st \left[y ight] ight)$;	
2	$[x]:=[x]\cap \left([z]*1/[y] ight)$;	
3	$[y]:=[y]\cap \left([z]*1/[x] ight).$	

Consider the binary constraint

$$\exp \triangleq \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n | y = \exp(x)\}.$$

The associated contractor is

Algorithm pexp(inout: $[y], [x]$)		
1	$[y] := [y] \cap \exp\left([x] ight);$	
2	$[x] := [x] \cap \log([y]).$	

Any constraint for which such a projection procedure is available will be called a *primitive constraint*.



Projection of the sine constraint

3.4 Constraint propagation

A CSP (Constraint Satisfaction Problem) is composed of

- 1) a set of variables $\mathcal{V} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$,
- 2) a set of constraints $\mathcal{C} = \{c_1, \ldots, c_m\}$ and
- 3) a set of interval domains $\{[x_1], \ldots, [x_n]\}$.

Principle of propagation techniques: contract $[\mathbf{x}] = [x_1] \times \cdots \times [x_n]$ as follows:

 $(((((([\mathbf{x}] \sqcap c_1) \sqcap c_2) \sqcap \dots) \sqcap c_m) \sqcap c_1) \sqcap c_2) \dots,$ until a steady box is reached.

Example. Consider the system of two equations.

$$y = x^2$$
$$y = \sqrt{x}.$$

We can build two contractors

$$\mathcal{C}_{1}: \begin{cases} [y] = [y] \cap [x]^{2} \\ [x] = [x] \cap \sqrt{[y]} \end{cases} \text{ associated to } y = x^{2} \\ \mathcal{C}_{2}: \begin{cases} [y] = [y] \cap \sqrt{[x]} \\ [x] = [x] \cap [y]^{2} \end{cases} \text{ associated to } y = \sqrt{x} \end{cases}$$



















3.5 Local consistency

If $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathbb{S}_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathbb{S}_2}$ are two minimal contractors for \mathbb{S}_1 and \mathbb{S}_2 then

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{S}} = \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathbb{S}_1} \circ \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathbb{S}_2} \circ \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathbb{S}_1} \circ \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathbb{S}_2} \circ \dots$$

is a contractor for $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}_1 \cap \mathbb{S}_2$, but it is not always optimal. This is the *local consistency effect.*

Exemple. Consider the system

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} y&=&3\sin(x)\ y&=&x \end{array}
ight. x\in\mathbb{R},\,y\in\mathbb{R}.$$




















3.6 Decomposition into primitive constraints

$$egin{aligned} x+\sin(xy) &\leq 0, \ x \in [-1,1], y \in [-1,1], z \in [-1,1] \end{aligned}$$

can be decomposed into

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} a=xy & x\in [-1,1] & a\in [-\infty,\infty] \ b=\sin(a) &, y\in [-1,1] & b\in [-\infty,\infty] \ c=x+b & z\in [-1,1] & c\in [-\infty,0] \end{array}
ight.$$

3.7 Set and contractors

A contractor one way to represent a set of \mathbb{R}^n .

The set associated with a contractor $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$ is

$$\mathsf{set}\left(\mathcal{C}\right) = \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{C}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \{\mathbf{x}\}\right\}.$$

Its domain is

$$\mathsf{dom}\left(\mathcal{C}
ight) = \left\{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{C}(\left\{\mathbf{x}
ight\}) = \emptyset
ight\}.$$





For instance, the set associated with the contractor

$$\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\begin{array}{c} [x_{1}]\\ [x_{2}]\\ [x_{3}] \end{array}\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{c} [x_{1}] \cap ([x_{3}] - [x_{2}])\\ [x_{2}] \cap ([x_{3}] - [x_{1}])\\ [x_{3}] \cap ([x_{1}] + [x_{2}]) \end{array}\right)$$

is

set
$$(C_1) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_3 = x_1 + x_2\}.$$

A contractor is also one way to represent one equation $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$.

3.8 Operations on contractors

intersection	$\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\cap\mathcal{C}_{2}\right)\left(\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)\overset{def}{=}\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)\cap\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{x}\right]\right)$
union	$\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\cup\mathcal{C}_{2} ight)\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\overset{def}{=}\left[\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\cup\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight) ight]$
composition	$\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\circ\mathcal{C}_{2} ight)\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\overset{def}{=}\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight) ight)$
repetition	$\mathcal{C}^{\infty} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \ldots$
repeat intersection	$\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcap \mathcal{C}_2 = (\mathcal{C}_1 \cap \mathcal{C}_2)^\infty$
repeat union	$\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2 = (\mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2)^\infty$

Consider the contractor C([x], [y]), where $[x] \in \mathbb{R}^n, [y] \in \mathbb{R}^p$. We define the contractor

$$\mathcal{C}^{\cup[\mathbf{y}]}([\mathbf{x}]) = \left[\bigcup_{\mathbf{y}\in[\mathbf{y}]} \pi_{\mathbf{x}} \left(\mathcal{C} \left([\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{y} \right) \right) \right] \quad (\text{projected union})$$



and also the contractor $\mathcal{C}^{\cap[\mathbf{y}]}([\mathbf{x}]) = \bigcap_{\mathbf{y}\in[\mathbf{y}]} \pi_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathcal{C}([\mathbf{x}],\mathbf{y})), \quad \text{(projected intersection})$



We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{set}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\cup[\mathbf{y}]}\right) = \{\mathbf{x}, \exists \mathbf{y} \in [\mathbf{y}], (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathsf{set}\left(\mathcal{C}\right)\} \\ & \mathsf{set}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\cap[\mathbf{y}]}\right) = \{\mathbf{x}, \forall \mathbf{y} \in [\mathbf{y}], (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathsf{set}\left(\mathcal{C}\right)\}. \end{aligned}$$

3.9 QUIMPER

The collection of contractors $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_m\}$ is *complementary* if

$$\operatorname{set}(\mathcal{C}_1) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{set}(\mathcal{C}_m) = \emptyset.$$

Quimper is a high-level language for QUick Interval Modeling and Programming in a bounded-ERror context.

Quimper is an interpreted language for set computation.

A Quimper program is a set of complementary contractors. Quimper returns m subpavings, where m is the number of contractors

It is available at

http://ibex-lib.org/

Application of contractors

4.1 Bounded-error estimation



It is known that

$$U_{z} \in [6,7]V, \ r \in [7,8]\Omega, \ U_{0} \in [6,6.2]V$$

$$R \in [100,110]\Omega, \ E \in [18,20]V, \ I_{z} \in [0,\infty]A$$

$$I \in [-\infty,\infty[A, \ I_{c} \in]-\infty,\infty[A, R_{c} \in [50,60]\Omega.$$

The constraints are

Zener diode $I_z = \max(0, \frac{U_z - U_0}{r}),$ Ohm rule $U_z = R_c I_c,$ Current rule $I = I_c + I_z,$ Voltage rule $E = RI + U_z.$ IntervalPeeler contracts the domains into:

$$egin{aligned} &U_z \in [6,007;6,518], r \in [7,8]\Omega, \ &U_0 \in [6,6.2]V, R \in [100,110]\Omega, \ &E \in [18,20]V, I_z \in [0.,0.398]A \ &I \in [0.11;0.14]A, \ I_c \in [0.1;0,13]A, \ &R_c \in [50,60]\Omega \end{aligned}$$

4.2 SLAM



Redermor, GESMA (Groupe d'Etude Sous-Marine de l'Atlantique)



Montrer la simulation

4.2.1 Sensors

GPS (Global positioning system), only at the surface.

 $t_0 = 6000 \text{ s}, \quad \ell^0 = (-4.4582279^\circ, 48.2129206^\circ) \pm 2.5m$ $t_f = 12000 \text{ s}, \quad \ell^f = (-4.4546607^\circ, 48.2191297^\circ) \pm 2.5m$
Sonar (KLEIN 5400 side scan sonar).







Screenshot of SonarPro



Mine detecttion with SonarPro

Loch-Doppler returns the speed robot \mathbf{v}_r .

 $\mathbf{v}_r \in \mathbf{ ilde{v}}_r + 0.004 * \llbracket -1,1
bracket . \mathbf{ ilde{v}}_r + 0.004 * \llbracket -1,1
bracket$

Inertial central (Octans III from IXSEA).

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\phi} \\ \tilde{\theta} \\ \tilde{\psi} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1.75 \times 10^{-4} . \left[-1, 1\right] \\ 1.75 \times 10^{-4} . \left[-1, 1\right] \\ 5.27 \times 10^{-3} . \left[-1, 1\right] \end{pmatrix}.$$

Six mines have been detected.

i	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\tau(i)$	7054	7092	7374	7748	9038	9688
$\sigma(i)$	1	2	1	0	1	5
$\tilde{r}(i)$	52.42	12.47	54.40	52.68	27.73	26.98

6	7	8	9	10	11
10024	10817	11172	11232	11279	11688
4	3	3	4	5	1
37.90	36.71	37.37	31.03	33.51	15.05

4.2.2 Constraints

$$t \in \{6000.0, 6000.1, 6000.2, \dots, 11999.4\},\$$

$$i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 11\},\$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_x(t) \\ p_y(t) \end{pmatrix} = 111120 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \cos\left(\ell_y(t) * \frac{\pi}{180}\right) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_x(t) - \ell_x^0 \\ \ell_y(t) - \ell_y^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = (p_x(t), p_y(t), p_z(t)),\$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\psi}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\psi(t) & -\sin\psi(t) & 0 \\ \sin\psi(t) & \cos\psi(t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\theta}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta(t) & 0 & \sin\theta(t) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\theta(t) & 0 & \cos\theta(t) \end{pmatrix},\$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_arphi(t) &= egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & \cosarphi(t) & -\sinarphi(t) \ 0 & \sinarphi(t) & -\sinarphi(t) \ 0 & \sinarphi(t) & \cosarphi(t) \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{R}(t) &= \mathbf{R}_\psi(t)\mathbf{R}_ heta(t)\mathbf{R}_arphi(t), \ \dot{\mathbf{p}}(t) &= \mathbf{R}(t).\mathbf{v}_r(t), \ \|\mathbf{m}(\sigma(i)) - \mathbf{p}(au(i))\| &= r(i), \ \mathbf{R}^\mathsf{T}(au(i)) \left(\mathbf{m}(\sigma(i)) - \mathbf{p}(au(i))
ight) \in [0] imes [0,\infty]^{ imes 2}, \ m_z(\sigma(i)) - p_z(au(i)) - a(au(i)) \in [-0.5, 0.5] \end{aligned}$$

Constants

N = 59996; // Number of time steps

Variables

```
R[N-1][3][3], // rotation matrices
p[N][3], // positions
v[N-1][3], // speed vectors
phi[N-1],theta[N-1],psi[N-1]; // Euler angles
px[N],py[N]; // for display only
```

```
function R[3][3]=euler(phi,theta,psi)
```

```
cphi = cos(phi);
```

```
sphi = sin(phi);
```

```
ctheta = cos(theta);
```

```
stheta = sin(theta);
```

```
cpsi = cos(psi);
```

```
spsi = sin(psi);
```

```
R[1][1]=ctheta*cpsi;
```

```
R[1][2]=-cphi*spsi+stheta*cpsi*sphi;
```

```
R[1][3]=spsi*sphi+stheta*cpsi*cphi;
```

```
R[2][1]=ctheta*spsi;
```

```
R[2][2]=cpsi*cphi+stheta*spsi*sphi;
```

```
R[2][3]=-cpsi*sphi+stheta*cphi*spsi;
```

```
R[3][1]=-stheta;
```

```
R[3][2]=ctheta*sphi;
```

```
R[3][3]=ctheta*cphi;
```

end

```
contractor-list rotation
 for k=1:N-1;
   R[k]=euler(phi[k],theta[k],psi[k]);
 end
end
//-----
contractor-list statequ
 for k=1:N-1;
   p[k+1]=p[k]+0.1*R[k]*v[k];
 end
end
//-----
contractor init
 inter k=1:N-1;
   rotation(k)
 end
end
```

```
contractor fwd
inter k=1:N-1;
statequ(k)
end
end
//------
contractor bwd
inter k=1:N-1;
statequ(N-k)
end
end
```

main

```
p[1] :=read("gps_init.dat");
v :=read("Quimper_v.dat");
phi :=read("Quimper_phi.dat");
theta :=read("Quimper_theta.dat");
psi :=read("Quimper_psi.dat");
init;
fwd;
bwd;
column(p,px,1);
column(p,py,2);
print("--- Robot positions: ---");
newplot("gesmi.dat");
plot(px,py,color(rgb(1,1,1),rgb(0,0,0)));
end
```

4.2.3 **GESMI**

4.3 Robust state estimation



Portsmouth, July 12-15, 2007.













Sauc'isse robot



Illustration (in gray) of the q-relaxed intersection the 6 sets $\mathbb{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{X}_6$ where $q \in \{2, 3, 4\}$

Assumption. Within any time window of length ℓ there are less than q outliers.

The set of feasible state can be computed recursively by

$$\mathbb{X}_{k+1} = \mathbf{f}_k\left(\mathbb{X}_k\right) \cap \bigcap_{i \in \{0,...,\ell\}}^{\{q\}} \mathbf{f}_k \circ \mathbf{f}_{k-1} \circ \ldots \circ \mathbf{f}_{k-i} \circ \mathbf{g}_{k-i}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{Y}_{k-i}\right).$$

Principle of the control of the underwater robot



Superposition of the poses of the robot



4.4 Robust stability

A CSP is *infallible* if any arbitrary instantiation of the variables is a solution.

Consider the CSP

$$egin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{V} &=& \{x,y\} \ \mathcal{D} &=& \{[x],\![y]\} \ \mathcal{C} &=& \{\;f(x,y)\leq \mathsf{0},\;g(x,y)\leq \mathsf{0}\}\,. \end{array}$$

The CSP is infallible if

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall x \in [x], \forall y \in [y], \ f(x,y) \leq \texttt{0} \ \texttt{and} \ g(x,y) \leq \texttt{0}, \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \{(x,y) \in [x] \times [y] \mid f(x,y) > \texttt{0} \ \texttt{or} \ g(x,y) > \texttt{0}\} = \emptyset \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \{(x,y) \in [x] \times [y] \mid \max (f(x,y), g(x,y)) > \texttt{0}\} = \emptyset. \end{array}$$

Consider a motorbike with a speed of 1m/s. Angle of the handlebars: θ . Rolling angle: ϕ Wanted rolling angle: ϕ_d Measured rolling angle: ϕ_m .



The input-output relation of the closed-loop system is :

$$\phi(s) = \frac{\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 s}{\left(s^2 - \alpha_1\right)\left(\tau s + 1\right) + \left(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 s\right)\left(1 + 2s + ks^2\right)}\phi_d(s)$$

Its characteristic polynomial is thus

$$P(s) = (s^{2} - \alpha_{1}) (\tau s + 1) + (\alpha_{2} + \alpha_{3}s) (1 + 2s + ks^{2})$$

= $a_{3}s^{3} + a_{2}s^{2} + a_{1}s + a_{0},$

with

$$a_3 = \tau + \alpha_3 k$$
 $a_2 = \alpha_2 k + 2\alpha_3 + 1$
 $a_1 = \alpha_3 - \alpha_1 \tau + 2\alpha_2$ $a_0 = -\alpha_1 + \alpha_2.$
The Routh table is :

aз	a_1
a_2	a ₀
$\frac{a_2a_1-a_3a_0}{a_2}$	0
<i>a</i> ₀	0

The closed-loop system is stable if $a_3, a_2, \frac{a_2a_1-a_3a_0}{a_2}$ and a_0 have the same sign. Assume that it is known that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \alpha_1 \in [8.8; 9.2] & \alpha_2 \in [2.8; 3.2] \\ \alpha_3 \in [0.8; 1.2] & \tau \in [1.8; 2.2] \\ k \in [-3.2; -2.8]. \end{array}$$

The system is robustly stable if,

$$\forall \alpha_1 \in [\alpha_1], \forall \alpha_2 \in [\alpha_2], \forall \alpha_3 \in [\alpha_3], \forall \tau \in [\tau], \forall k \in [k], a_3, a_2, \frac{a_2a_1-a_3a_0}{a_2} \text{ and } a_0 \text{ have the same sign.}$$

Now, we have the equivalence

 $b_1, b_2, b_3 \text{ and } b_4 \text{ have the same sign}$ $\Leftrightarrow \max(\min(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), -\max(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)) > 0$ The robust stability condition amounts to proving that

is false,...

i.e., that the CSP

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V} &= \left\{ a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \tau, k \right\}, \\ \mathcal{D} &= \left\{ \left[\alpha_{0} \right], \left[\alpha_{1} \right], \left[\alpha_{2} \right], \left[\alpha_{3} \right], \left[\alpha_{2} \right], \left[\alpha_{3} \right], \left[\tau \right], \left[k \right] \right\}, \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_{3} &= \tau + \alpha_{3}k \; ; \; a_{2} = \alpha_{2}k + 2\alpha_{3} + 1 \; ; \\ a_{1} &= \alpha_{3} - \alpha_{1}\tau + 2\alpha_{2}, \\ a_{0} &= -\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \; ; \\ a_{0} &= -\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} \; ; \\ m_{1} &= \min\left(a_{3}, a_{2}, \frac{a_{2}a_{1} - a_{3}a_{0}}{a_{2}}, a_{0} \right) \; ; \\ m_{2} &= \max\left(a_{3}, a_{2}, \frac{a_{2}a_{1} - a_{3}a_{0}}{a_{2}}, a_{0} \right) \\ \max\left(m_{1}, -m_{2} \right) \leq 0. \end{split} \right\} \end{split}$$

has no solution.

This is easily proven by IntervalPeeler

Or with QUIMPER.

```
variables
alpha1 in [8.8,9.2];
alpha2 in [2.8,3.2];
alpha3 in [0.8,1.2];
tau in [1.8,2.2];
k in [-3.2,-2.8];
r in [-1e08,0];
b1 in [-1e08,0];
b2 in [0,-1e08];
a3,a2,a1,a0,b;
```

```
contractor_list L
a3=tau+alpha3*k;
a2=alpha2*k+2*alpha3+1;
a1=alpha3-alpha1*tau+2*alpha2;
a0=alpha2-alpha1;
b1=min(a3,a2,(a2*a1-a3*a0)/a2,a0);
b2=max(a3,a2,(a2*a1-a3*a0)/a2,a0);
end
contractor C
compose(L)
end
```