# Contractors in lattices for solving generalized constraint satisfaction problems

Journée calcul ensembliste et interprétation abstraite

L. Jaulin ENSTA-Bretagne, Lab-STICC, Brest CNAM, 19 mars 2015, Paris

http://www.ensta-bretagne.fr/jaulin/

## Presentation available at http://youtu.be/rRh8azmaWqc

## 1 Contractors

The operator  $\mathcal{C}$  :  $\mathbb{IR}^n \to \mathbb{IR}^n$  is a *contractor* for the equation  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , if

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{C}([\mathbf{x}]) \subset [\mathbf{x}] & (\text{contractance}) \\ \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{x}] \text{ and } f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}([\mathbf{x}]) & (\text{consistence}) \end{array} \right.$ 

**Example**. Consider the primitive equation:

 $x_2 = \sin x_1.$ 









${\cal C}$ is monotonic if	$[\mathbf{x}] \subset [\mathbf{y}] \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}([\mathbf{x}]) \subset \mathcal{C}([\mathbf{y}])$
${\cal C}$ is <i>idempotent</i> if	$\mathcal{C}\left(\mathcal{C}([\mathbf{x}]) ight)=\mathcal{C}([\mathbf{x}])$

### Contractor algebra

intersection	$\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\cap\mathcal{C}_{2} ight)\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\overset{def}{=}\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\cap\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)$
union	$\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}\cup\mathcal{C}_{2} ight)\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\stackrel{def}{=}\left[\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight)\cup\mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\left[\mathbf{x} ight] ight) ight]$
composition	$(\mathcal{C}_1 \circ \mathcal{C}_2)([\mathbf{x}]) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{C}_2([\mathbf{x}]))$
reiteration	$\mathcal{C}^{\infty} \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \ldots$

#### Contractor associated with a database

The robot with coordinates  $(x_1, x_2)$  is in the water.





## 2 Solver

**Example.** Solve the system

$$y = x^2$$
$$y = \sqrt{x}.$$

We build two contractors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [y] = [y] \cap [x]^2 \\ [x] = [x] \cap \sqrt{[y]} \end{array} \right. \text{ associated with } y = x^2 \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [y] = [y] \cap \sqrt{[x]} \\ [x] = [x] \cap [y]^2 \end{array} \right. \text{ associated with } y = \sqrt{x} \end{aligned} \end{aligned}$$



















## **3** Generalized contractors

A *lattice*  $(\mathcal{E}, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set, closed under least upper and greatest lower bounds.

The *join*:  $x \lor y$ . The *meet*:  $x \land y$ . An interval [x] of a complete lattice  ${\mathcal E}$  is a subset of  ${\mathcal E}$  which satisfies

$$[x] = \{x \in \mathcal{E} \mid \land [x] \le x \le \lor [x]\}.$$

Both  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are intervals of  $\mathcal{E}$ .



An interval function (or tube) and a set interval

A generalized CSP is composed of variables  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , constraints  $\{c_1, \ldots, c_m\}$ domains  $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$ .

The domains  $\mathbb{X}_i$  should belong to a lattice  $(\mathcal{L}_i, \subset)$ .

Define  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{L}_n$ . An element  $\mathbb{X}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Cartesian product of n elements of  $\mathcal{L}_i$ :  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{X}_n$ . The set  $\mathbb{X}$  will be called *hyperdomain*. A generalized *contractor* is an operator

$$\mathcal{C}: egin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L} & o & \mathcal{L} \ \mathbb{X} & o & \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}) \end{array}$$

which satisfies

$$\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{Y} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Y})$$
  
 $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}) \subset \mathbb{X}$ 

(monotonicity) (contractance)



# 4 Graph intervals

The set of graphs of  ${\mathcal A}$  with the relation

$$\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{H} \Leftrightarrow orall i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \ g_{ij} \leq h_{ij},$$

corresponds to a complete lattice. Intervals of graphs of  $\mathcal{A}$  can thus be defined.

Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} [0,1] & [0,1] & 0 \\ 1 & [0,1] & [0,1] \\ [0,1] & [0,1] & [0,1] \end{pmatrix}$$
Define the minimal contractor  ${\cal C}$  associated the constraint equivalence relation. We have

## 5 Set intervals

## 5.1 Definition

Given two sets  $\mathbb{A}^-$  and  $\mathbb{A}^+$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the pair  $[\mathbb{A}] = [\mathbb{A}^-, \mathbb{A}^+]$  which encloses all sets  $\mathbb{A}$  such that

$$\mathbb{A}^- \subset \mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{A}^+$$

is a set interval.





Lattice  $\left( \mathcal{P}\left( \mathbb{R}^{n}
ight) ,\subset
ight)$ 





The set interval  $[\emptyset, \emptyset]$  is a singleton :  $\emptyset \in [\emptyset, \emptyset]$ . The set interval  $[\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n]$  encloses all sets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The empty set interval is denoted by  $[\mathbb{R}^n, \emptyset]$ . Given two sets A and B of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The smallest set interval which contains A and B is

$$\Box \left\{ \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} \right\} = \left[ \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B} \right]$$



### 5.2 Arithmetic



(h)  $([\mathbb{A}] \cup [\mathbb{B}]) \setminus ([\mathbb{A}] \cap [\mathbb{B}])$ 

- )  $[\mathbb{A}] \cap [\mathbb{B}]$
- (f)  $[\mathbb{A}] \cup [\mathbb{B}]$
- (e)  $[\mathbb{A}] \setminus [\mathbb{B}] \cup [\mathbb{B}] \setminus [\mathbb{A}]$
- (d)  $[\mathbb{B}] \setminus [\mathbb{A}]$
- $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \setminus \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket$
- $\mathbb{B} \in \ \left[\mathbb{B}^{-}, \mathbb{B}^{+}
  ight]$
- (a)  $\mathbb{A} \in \left[\mathbb{A}^{-}, \mathbb{A}^{+}\right]$

## 5.3 Contractors



Consider the CSP

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{B} \\ \mathbb{A} \in [\mathbb{A}], \mathbb{B} \in [\mathbb{B}]. \end{array}\right.$$

The optimal contractor is

$$\begin{cases} (i) & [\mathbb{A}] := [\mathbb{A}] \sqcap ([\mathbb{A}] \cap [\mathbb{B}]) \\ (ii) & [\mathbb{B}] := [\mathbb{B}] \sqcap ([\mathbb{A}] \cup [\mathbb{B}]) \end{cases}$$

Consider the CSP

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{C} \\ \mathbb{A} \in [\mathbb{A}], \mathbb{B} \in [\mathbb{B}], \mathbb{C} \in [\mathbb{C}]. \end{array}\right.$$

The optimal contractor is

$$\begin{cases} (\mathsf{i}) & [\mathbb{C}] := [\mathbb{C}] \sqcap ([\mathbb{A}] \cap [\mathbb{B}]) \\ (\mathsf{ii}) & [\mathbb{A}] := [\mathbb{A}] \sqcap ([\mathbb{C}] \cup ([\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n] \setminus ([\mathbb{B}] \setminus [\mathbb{C}]))) \\ (\mathsf{iii}) & [\mathbb{B}] := [\mathbb{B}] \sqcap ([\mathbb{C}] \cup ([\emptyset, \mathbb{R}^n] \setminus ([\mathbb{A}] \setminus [\mathbb{C}]))). \end{cases}$$

## 5.4 Application

Consider the following CSP

$$\begin{cases} (i) & \mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{A} \\ (ii) & \mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{X} \\ (iii) & \mathbb{X} \cap \mathbb{C} = \emptyset \\ (iv) & f(\mathbb{X}) = \mathbb{X}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbb X$  is an unknown subset of  $\mathbb R^2,\ f$  is a rotation with an angle of  $-\frac{\pi}{6},$  and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{A} &= \left\{ (x_1, x_2), x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 3 \right\} \\ \mathbb{B} &= \left\{ (x_1, x_2), (x_1 - 0.5)^2 + x_2^2 \leq 0.3 \right\} \\ \mathbb{C} &= \left\{ (x_1, x_2), (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \leq 0.15 \right\} \end{cases}$$



# 6 SLAM with indistinguishable marks

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Robot: } \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}), \ \mathbf{x}\left(\mathbf{0}\right) = \mathbf{0}.\\ \text{Marks } \mathcal{M} = \left\{\mathbf{m}\left(\mathbf{1}\right), \mathbf{m}\left(\mathbf{2}\right), \dots \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2. \end{array}$ 

**Context**: indistinguishable point marks that are partially observable

Our SLAM is a *chicken and egg* problem of cardinality three:

(i) if the map and the associations are known, we have localization problem,

*(ii)* if the trajectory and the associations are known, we have a mapping problem

*(iii)* if the trajectory and the map are known we have an association problem.

The unknown variables have an heterogenous nature: (i) marks  $\mathbf{m}(j) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ (ii) trajectory  $\mathbf{x}(t) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , (iii) the free space  $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (iv) the data associations is a graph  $\mathcal{G}$ .



A sector  $\mathbb H$  is a subset of  $\mathbb R^2$  which contains a single mark.



Our SLAM problem:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) & (\text{evolution equation}) \\ (t_i, \mathcal{H}_i(\mathbf{x})) & (\text{sector list}) \end{cases}$$

where  $t \in [0, t_{\max}]$ ,  $\mathbf{u}(t) \in [\mathbf{u}](t)$ . Each set  $\mathcal{H}_i(\mathbf{x}(t_i)) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  contains a unique mark. We have an egocentric representation. We define  $\mathbb{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_i(\mathbf{x}(t_i))$ . **Example 1**. A robot moving in a plane and located at  $(x_1, x_2)$ . At  $t_3$  the robot detects a unique mark at a distance  $d \in [4, 5]$ . We have

 $\mathcal{H}_{3}(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} | (x_{1} - a_{1})^{2} + (x_{2} - a_{2})^{2} \in [16, 25] \right\}.$ 

**Example 2**. We have two sectors  $\mathbb{H}_i$  and  $\mathbb{H}_j$ . Since  $\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{H}_j$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_j \setminus \mathbb{H}_i$  has no mark. Thus we can associate  $\mathbb{H}_i$  with  $\mathbb{H}_j$ .



**Theorem**. Define the free space as  $\mathbb{F} = \{ \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mathbf{p} \notin \mathcal{M} \}$ . Consider *m* sectors  $\mathbb{H}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{H}_m$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{a}(i)$  the mark in  $\mathbb{H}_i$ . We have

(i) 
$$\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{H}_j \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(i) = \mathbf{a}(j)$$
  
(ii)  $\mathbb{H}_i \cap \mathbb{H}_j = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(i) \neq \mathbf{a}(j)$   
(iii)  $\mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{H}_j \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}_j \setminus \mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{F}.$ 

#### Example.



The two black zones contain a single mark and no mark exists in the hatched area.

**Association graph**. Consider m detections  $\mathbf{a}(1), \ldots, \mathbf{a}(m)$ . The *association graph* is the graph with nodes  $\mathbf{a}(i)$  such that  $\mathbf{a}(i) \to \mathbf{a}(j)$  means that  $\mathbf{a}(i) = \mathbf{a}(j)$ .

# 7 SLAM as a CSP

#### Variables

(i) the trajectory of the robot  $\mathbf{x}$ .

(ii) the sectors  $\mathbb{H}_i$ 

(iii) the location of the mark  $\mathbf{a}(i)$  detected at time  $t_i$ 

(iv) the association graph  ${\cal G}$ 

(v) the free space  $\mathbb{F}$ .

#### Domains

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{x} \in [\mathbf{x}] &= \left[\mathbf{x}^{-}, \mathbf{x}^{+}
ight] \ \mathbf{a}\left(i
ight) \in \mathbb{A}\left(i
ight) \ \mathbb{H}_{i} \in [\mathbb{H}_{i}] &= \left[\mathbb{H}_{i}^{-}, \mathbb{H}_{i}^{+}
ight] \ \mathbb{F} \in [\mathbb{F}] &= \left[\mathbb{F}^{-}, \mathbb{F}^{+}
ight] \ \mathcal{G} \in [\mathcal{G}] &= \left[\mathcal{G}^{-}, \mathcal{G}^{+}
ight]. \end{aligned}$$

#### Initialization

$$\begin{split} & [\mathbf{x}] \left( t \right) = [-\infty, \infty] \text{ if } t > 0 \text{ and } [\mathbf{x}] \left( 0 \right) = \mathbf{0}. \\ & \mathbb{A} \left( i \right) = \mathbb{R}^2. \\ & \mathbb{H}_i \in \left[ \emptyset, \mathbb{R}^2 \right]. \\ & \mathbb{F} \in \left[ \emptyset, \mathbb{R}^2 \right]. \\ & \mathcal{G} \in \left[ \emptyset, \top \right]. \end{split}$$

#### Constraints

(i) 
$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$$
  
(ii)  $\mathbb{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_i(\mathbf{x}(t_i))$   
(iii)  $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathbb{H}_i$   
(iv)  $\mathbf{a}(i) = \mathbf{a}(j) \Leftrightarrow g_{ij} = \mathbf{1}$   
(v)  $\mathbf{a}(i) \in \mathbb{H}_j \Leftrightarrow g_{ij} = \mathbf{1}$   
(vi)  $g_{ij} = \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}_j \setminus \mathbb{H}_i \subset \mathbb{F}$   
(vii)  $\mathbf{a}(i) \notin \mathbb{F}$ 


8 Test-case

## Generation of the data.

A simulated robot follows a cycloid for 100sec.

10 marks inside  $[-8, 8] \times [-8, 8]$ .

A rangefinder collects the distance  $\tilde{d}$  to the nearest mark.

**Resolution**. The robot is

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = u_1 \cos u_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = u_1 \sin u_2. \end{cases}$$

The sector functions are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_i\left(\mathbf{x}\left(t_i\right)\right) &= \left\{\mathbf{a} \mid \left\|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{x}\left(t_i\right)\right\| \in \left[d_i\right]\right\} \\ \mathcal{H}_{i+1}\left(\mathbf{x}\left(t_{i+1}\right)\right) &= \left\{\mathbf{a} \mid \left\|\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{x}\left(t_{i+1}\right)\right\| < \delta_{i+1}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$



Illustration of the propagation. Left: the tube becomes more and more accurate. Right: The association graph has more and more arcs.



Superposition of the width of the tube  $[\mathbf{x}](t)$ 

**Associations**. At the fixed point, 3888 associations have been found, 29128 pairs  $(\mathbf{a}(i), \mathbf{a}(j))$  have been proven disjoint and 5400 pairs  $(\mathbf{a}(i), \mathbf{a}(j))$  have not been classified.



Free space  $\mathbb{F}$ .